

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/807,719	07/13/2001	Jacques Joseph Henri Orban	14,0125	4028
. 75	590 01/28/2004		EXAMINER	
WESTERNGECO, L.L.C.			GUTIERREZ, ANTHONY	
P.O. BOX 2469 HOUSTON, TX 77252-2469			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		•	2857	
		DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office A C	09/807,719	ORBAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anthony Gutierrez	2857 AW				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S	September 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 46-88 is/are pending in the a	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 46-88</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Claim(s) <u>1,48,49,51,52,60-62,64,68,71,72 and 80-82</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 2,46,47,50,53-59,63,65-67,69,70,72-						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 13 July 2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	• • •					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · ·	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	xamilier. Note the attached Office	Action of form 1-10-132.				
	- maiority condon 25 H C O S 440/o) (d) an (D)				
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fire 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language processes and the first septence was included in the first septence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first septence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first septence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first septence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first septence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first septence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first septence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first septence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first septence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first septence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first septence of the priority document is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first septence of the priority document is made of a claim for document is made of a claim fo	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If of the certified copies not receive ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ext sentence of the specification or covisional application has been receive priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage d. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific				
reference was included in the first sentence of the	ne specification of in an Applicatio	п рата эпеет. 37 СРК 1.78.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains a grammatical error. Line 6 recites the phrase "The invention also involves and apparatus...".

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1,48,49,51,64,68, and 71, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Owen et al. (US Patent 4,409,899).

As to claims 1 and 64, Owen et al. discloses (see Fig 1.) placing a positioning device in a particular location (element 10, where the detected aircraft is considered by the Examiner to be a positioning device since the target range Rt is determined based on the position of the aircraft); placing a seismic sensor near said positioning device (element 11); and determining the distance between said seismic sensor and said positioning device using an airborne acoustic transmission between said positioning device and said seismic sensor (the phrase "Target Range, Rt" and the phrase "Airborne Sound Waves" and columns 3-5).

Application/Control Number: 09/807,719

Art Unit: 2857

As to claims 48 and 68, Owen et al. further discloses wherein said airborne acoustic transmission is a spread spectrum acoustic signal (col. 3, lines 29-32).

As to claim 49, Owen et al. further discloses wherein said airborne acoustic transmission is a pulse, frequency sweep, or digitally encoded sweep acoustic signal (col. 3, lines 29-32).

As to claims 51 and 71, Owen et al. further discloses including a temperature sensor for measuring temperature of the air near said seismic sensor or positioning device (col. 1, lines 40-44).

4. Claims 60-62 and 80-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Michel (US Patent 4,811,308).

As to claims 60 and 80, Michel discloses placing a positioning device in a particular location (col. 3, lines 18-33 and 62-65), where the Examiner considers the stealth aircraft to be the positioning device since it is detected based on its location; placing a seismic sensor near said positioning device (col. 3, lines 44-50); and determining the distance between said seismic sensor and said positioning device using an airborne acoustic transmission between said positioning device and said seismic sensor (col. 3, lines 62-65), wherein said seismic sensor is a first sensor and further including additional seismic sensors and the step of determining the distance between said additional seismic sensors and said positioning device using airborne acoustic transmissions between said positioning device and said additional seismic sensors (col. 3, lines 44-col. 4, line 3) where the location of the stealth aircraft is determined based on triangulation of at least three sub-arrays of multiple seismic sensors.

Art Unit: 2857

As to claims 61 and 81, Michel further discloses calculating a group center of gravity for said first seismic sensor and said additional seismic sensors (col. 3, lines 62-65).

As to claims 62 and 82, Michel further discloses determining whether said first seismic sensor and said additional seismic sensors have been laid out in a prescribed order (col. 3, lines 48-50).

5. Claims 52 and 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hamilton (US Patent 3,547,218).

As to claims 52 and 72, Hamilton discloses (see Fig. 1) placing a positioning device in a particular location (element 11, which the Examiner considers to be a positioning device because it possesses the rotor blades that make the noise that serve as the seismic impulse source, and the helicopter has to be placed at a particular position to be near the sensor involved in the survey); placing a seismic sensor near said positioning device (16 and 17); and determining the distance between said seismic sensor and said positioning device using an airborne acoustic transmission between said positioning device and said seismic sensor wherein said positioning device is placed near a survey flag (col. 2, line 60- col. 3, line 14), where the helicopter (positioning device) is positioned above the survey flag at a known distance from the sensors (col. 3, lines 4-7) and where knowledge of the velocity of sound in the air and the subsurface (as determined by the survey) would provide the distance between the sensor and the positioning device.

Application/Control Number: 09/807,719 Page 5

Art Unit: 2857

Allowabl Subject Matt r

6. Claims 2,46,47,50,5**3**-59,63,65-67,69,70,72-79 and 83-88 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,48,49,51,52,60-62,64,68,71,72, and 80-82 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent 4,630,246 to Fogler discloses an apparatus for detecting aircraft using a processing system that correlates signals received by a microphone and a geophone.

US Patent 5,161,127 to Grosch discloses a geophone-microphone sensor arrangement for determining the range of sound-generating vehicles.

US Patent 4,775,028 to de Heering discloses a system for determining depth in Arctic waters using an airborne microphone suspended by a helicopter.

US Patent 5,128,904 to Chambers discloses a method applicable in a land zone for determining the separation of an acoustic source and an acoustic sensor that makes use of a range statistic determined from a signature of the first arriving energy traveling along a direct path from the source to the sensor.

Application/Control Number: 09/807,719

Art Unit: 2857

US Patent 4,890,264 to Crews et al. discloses a seismic exploration method using

geophones and microphones wherein the microphones are used to detect wind and

mechanical noise so that non-uniformly distributed noise signals may be accurately

eliminated.

US Patent 6,381,544 to Sallas et al. discloses a speaker-microphone system in

conjunction with geophones to filter out airborne noise produced by a surface seismic

source.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Anthony Gutierrez whose telephone number is (703)

305-1973. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on (703) 308-1677. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)

305-0976.

Anthony Gutierrez

1/23/04

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800